

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/636,044	08/10/2000	Amos Tanay	3757-1	6487	
75	90 01/24/2002				
Brown Raysman Millstein Felder & Steiner LLP			EXAMINER		
900 THIRD AV New York, NY			GARY, ERIKA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2685	-	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/636,044

Applicant(s)

Tanay et al.

Examiner

Erika A. Gary

Art Unit 2685



— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspo	ondence address	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replector be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ▼ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ET TO EXPIRE MONTH 136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI and go date of this communication, even if timely from	H(S) FROM timely filed days will om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). iled, may reduce any	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.2b) ☒ This action3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance expenses.		on to the merite in	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Expa			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>		_ is/are pending in the applica	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from considera	
5) ☑ Claim(s) <u>20-22 and 26</u>		is/are allowed.	
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 13-16, 19, and 23-25</u>			
7) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>3, 4, 6, 7, 10-12, 17, and 18</u>		is/are objected to.	
8) Claims	are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirem	
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	is: a pproved b)[_disapproved.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have I	been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have to	been received in Application No.		
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority doct application from the International Bureau *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the common statement of the certified copies of the priority doctors. 	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ational Stage	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 13-16, and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arpee et al., US Patent Number 5,926,762 (hereinafter Arpee).

Regarding claim 1, Arpee discloses a method of generating an impact matrix for use in allocating frequency channels in a wireless communication network service area, the network area divided into a plurality of sectors which are further divided into a plurality of pixels, the impact matrix providing impact scores which characterizes sector by sector channel interference in the network service area, the method comprising: merging signal propagation analysis data and empirical measurement data to determine an anticipated signal level for each one of the plurality of pixels in the network service area; determining which one of the sectors in the network service area is a serving sector for the pixel; determining channel interference impact scores for the pixel based on the interference between the pixel's serving sector and each of the other sectors in the network service area; and providing sector by sector impact scores [col. 11: line 14 - col. 12: line 4].

Application/Control Number: 09/636,044 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claim 2, Arpee discloses merging propagation analysis is performed according to user ascribed confidences [col. 11: lines 56-58].

Regarding claim 5, Arpee discloses modifying the impact scores in the impact matrix according to channel pairing relationships among sectors which are known to provide high levels and low levels of interference [col. 12: lines 2-4].

Regarding claim 8, Arpee discloses a system for developing an impact matrix for use in frequency channel planning in a wireless communication network service area, the communication network service area divided into sectors and pixels, the system comprising: means for determining a signal strength level for each pixel in the network service area; means for determining which is a serving sector for each pixel in the network service area; means for determining an interference impact score between each pixel's serving sector and each of the other non-serving sectors in the network service area; and means for determining overall sector by sector impact scores for inclusion in the impact matrix, the overall sector by sector scores based on the interference impact scores for the pixels within which a sector is the serving sector [col. 11: line 14 - col. 12: line 4].

Regarding claim 9, Arpee discloses the means for determining a signal strength for each pixel in the network service area comprises means for conducting a propagation analysis and means for performing empirical measurements [col. 11: lines 20-22, 56-58].

Application/Control Number: 09/636,044 Page 4

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claims 13 and 15, Arpee discloses modifying the impact matrix based on data which specifies co-channel assignments (or adjacent channel assignments) which will not result in excessive interference [col. 12: lines 2-4].

Regarding claims 14 and 16, Arpee discloses modifying the impact matrix based on data which specifies co-channel assignments (or adjacent channel assignments) which will result in excessive interference [col. 12: lines 2-4].

Regarding claim 19, Arpee discloses the impact matrix allows a user to make and evaluate individual channel assignments in the communication network [col. 12: lines 2-3].

3. Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gunmar et al., US Patent Number 5,293,640 (hereinafter Gunmar).

Regarding claim 23, Gunmar discloses a method of using an impact matrix for frequency channel planning in a wireless communication network divided into sectors, the impact matrix providing sector by sector signal quality interference ratings, the method comprising: determining an incremental quality degradation for a potential channel assignment in the network using the impact matrix; and assigning frequency channels to sectors according to the incremental quality degradation provided by the impact matrix [col. 2: line 53 - col. 3: line 6; col. 7: lines 52-55].

Regarding claim 24, Gunmar discloses the impact matrix proved co-channel interference ratings [col. 7: lines 32-36].

Application/Control Number: 09/636,044 Page 5

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claim 25, Gunmar discloses the impact matrix proved adjacent channel interference ratings [col. 7: lines 32-36].

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 20-22 and 26 and allowed. Prior art has not been found that suggests or renders obvious the limitations of independent claims 20 and 26 disclosing creating am impact matrix for use is frequency allocation in a wireless communication network comprising: determining weighted interference impact scores for pixels based upon each of the non-serving sectors' interference impact upon the pixel's serving sector, and determining overall impact scores based upon the weighted interference impact scores. Specifically, the weighting is viewed in light of the specification on page 19 and the overall impact scores are viewed in light of pages 21 and 22.
- 5. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 10-12, 17, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/636,044

Art Unit: 2685

Kornestedt et al., US Patent Number 6,012,329, disclose a method and associated

apparatus for determining cell relationships in a radio communication system.

Isaksson, US Patent Number 6,137,991, disclose estimating downlink interference in a

cellular communications system.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Erika Gary whose telephone number is (703) 308-0123. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm. The examiner can also be

reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful,

the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached on (703) 305-4385.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750 or to the

2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II,

2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Erika Gary

January 17, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6